

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/669,599	09/25/2003	. Satoru Yamaguchi	461-147	1316
23117 7	7590 07/26/2005		EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			RAO, G NAGESH	
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOC ARLINGTON, VA 22203		OOK .	ART UNIT	
•	•		1722	
			DATE MAILED, 07/04/0000	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•						
Office Action Summary	10/669,599 Examiner	YAMAGUCHI ET AL.				
,						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	G. Nagesh Rao	1722				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed vs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/13	<u>′05</u> .					
,—	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9 and 15</u> is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement					
are subject to restriction and/o	i election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
The bath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	kammer. Note the attached Office	SACION OF IOIN 1 TO-102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
. 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed.				
	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal I	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					
U.S. Patent and Tredemark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) j. f. f. Office A	ction Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 07202005				

Application/Control Number: 10/669,599 Page 2

Art Unit: 1722

DETAILED ACTION

1) Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

In view of the after final response filed on 7/13/05, the finality of the last office action is revoked and a new grounds of rejection is set forth below.

Claim Objections

Claims 9 and 15 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claims 9 and 15 refers to limitations on the product, a ceramic molding, rather than to the structure of the apparatus.

Application/Control Number: 10/669,599 Page 3

Art Unit: 1722

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3) Claims 1-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kolossow (US Patent No. 6,074,084) taken together with Murata (US Patent No. 5,393,213).

Kolossow 084 has incorporated by reference the teachings of Murata (US Patent No. 5,393,213), which teaches the embodiment of a screw extruder coupled with a molding die (See Figure 4 and 21 Element 14).

Application/Control Number: 10/669,599

Art Unit: 1722

Kolossow 084 depicts a screw extruder (See Figure 1) that would be capable of kneading and guiding a ceramic material toward a molding die (Examiner reiterates that language pertaining to the specificity of ceramic material by applicant is viewed as recitation of intended use and bears no weight to the structural limitations of the claimed apparatus), wherein the screw extruder has various segments whereby section V of the extruder is capable of pressing the material, section VII is capable of spreading the material, and section VIII is capable of diffusioning the material. Furthermore the pressing section (V) of the screw extruder is located on a first shaft body and the diffusion section (VIII) of the screw extruder is located on a second shaft body which is coaxial to first shaft body and also has a diameter larger than that of the aformentioned. Lastly both portions of the screw extruder have at least one thread in the form of a spiral ridge on the outer peripheral surface of their respective portion of the shaft body (See Figure 1, Col 8 Lines 30-67, Col 9 Lines 1-64, and Col 13 Lines 1-67).

Furthermore Kolossow 084 teaches a section of the screw extruder apparatus (VII) which is capable of spreading the material in a radially outward direction as it is between sections V and VIII, and is located on an intermediate shaft body that increases in diameter towards the section VIII. Whereby diameter of section VIII of the screw extruder will decrease at its tip point.

Art Unit: 1722

Also Kolossow 084 teaches a housing unit for the screw extruder (See Figure 1 for more details), where the screw extruder capable of pressing material (V) is accommodated in a screw housing which has a hollow small diameter tube of substantially circular cross section, and the portion of the screw extruder capable of spreading (VII) and diffusion (VIII) are accommodated in a screw housing which has a hollow large diameter tube of substantially circular cross section, whereby the inner peripheral surface of the small hollow tube and large hollow tube are connected to one another, and that the portion of the screw extruder capable of spreading (VII) rotates while it maintains a distance from the interior wall in the radial direction. Finally the extruder is capable of having an even or odd number of threads and as well differentiations in their length size since those distinctions are viewed as design choices as well as result effectant variables that would be obvious to modify allowing for varying conditions to obtain the molded product in a particular form or style.

From the aforementioned the extruder of Kolossow 084 lacked the specified teachings of an extruder die being used with the extruder. However there being a strong motivation to combine the teachings of Kolossow 084 and Murata 213, due to Kolossow 084's claiming of incorporating the teachings of Murata 213, that it would be obvious to couple the screw extruder taught by Kolossow 084 with the

Application/Control Number: 10/669,599

Art Unit: 1722

Page 6

molding die of Murata 213, since it is very well known in the art to have screw extruders coupled with molding dies to produce desired molded products.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to G. Nagesh Rao whose telephone number is (571) 272-2946. The examiner can normally be reached on 9AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GNR